

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,801	JUSTEN, JORDAN L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nitin C. Patel	2116	

All Participants:

(1) Nitin C. Patel.

(2) Mr. John F. Conroy [reg.# 45,485].

Date of Interview: 27 September 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

25-30, and 35 -36

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

 9/27/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated an interview with attorney, Mr. Conroy to discuss minor correction to claims 25-30, and 35-36, with examiners amendment for better understanding and expediate prosecution. Attorney is agreed and authorized the examiner to correct as per examiner's amendments..